




29. Denied. The allegations contained in this paragraph contain conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent same are deemed factual in nature, they are specifically denied and strict proof is demanded at trial, if relevant

WHEREFORE, Answering Defendant, Richard Devasety, demands judgment in his favor and against all other parties, together with costs, attorney's fees and other such relief as this Court deems just and appropriate.

LAW OFFICES OF JAMES L. BARLOW

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN J. WIENER, ESQUIRE  
Attorney for Defendant,  
Richard Devasety

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Reply to New Matter Crossclaim was served electronically, this 26 day of February, 2013 upon the following counsel of record:

Lee D. Rosenfeld, Esquire  
Messa & Associates, PC  
123 South 22nd Street  
Philadelphia PA 19103

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF,  
FRANCES JOYCE

Jeffrey Crooks  
Mary Crooks  
6708 Dicks Avenue  
Philadelphia PA 19142

Thomas Gleason, Jr.  
Mary Gleason  
66 Springton Road  
Upper Darby PA 19082

Pauline J. Manos, Esquire  
1515 Arch Street  
14th Floor  
Philadelphia PA 19102

ATTORNEY FOR CO-DEFENDANT,  
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Michael Dempsey, Esquire  
Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin  
620 Freedom Business Center  
Suite 300  
King of Prussia, PA 19406-1330

ATTORNEY FOR CO-DEFENDANT,  
MANGO & AUGUST, INC.

Jeffrey S. Saltz, Esquire  
Law Office of Jeffrey S. Saltz, P.C.  
Two Penn Center  
Suite 1930  
1500 JFK Blvd.  
Philadelphia PA 19102

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT,  
PROGRESS BANK OF FLORIDA,  
C/O FDIC AS RECEIVER

and on all other counsel of record and unrepresented parties on the attached list by United States  
Mail, First Class postage prepaid.

Dated: February 26, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN J. WIENER, ESQUIRE